

# Hecke Theory and Jacquet Langlands

S. M.-C.

18 October 2016

Today we're going to be associating  $L$ -functions to automorphic things and discussing their  $L$ -function-y properties, i.e. analytic continuation and functional equation. The point is that  $L$ -functions will help us understand automorphic things, e.g. we can compare automorphic things by comparing their  $L$ -functions, and we can use properties of  $L$ -functions to detect whether our automorphic thing is cuspidal. We follow Chapter 6 of Gelbart's book *Automorphic forms on adèle groups*.

## 1 $GL_1$ by Hecke and Tate

For motivation/illustration we'll start with the case of  $GL_1$ , which is the work of Hecke, as well as Tate's thesis.

Let  $F$  be a number field, and  $\mathbb{A}_F$  its ring of adèles. An automorphic representation of  $GL_1$  (over  $F$ ) is any irreducible unitary representation of  $GL_1(\mathbb{A}_F)$  appearing in  $L^2(GL_1(F)\backslash GL_1(\mathbb{A}_F))$ . But as a  $GL_1(\mathbb{A}_F)$ -module,

$$L^2(GL_1(F)\backslash GL_1(\mathbb{A}_F)) = \int_{GL_1(F)\backslash GL_1(\mathbb{A}_F)}^{\oplus} \psi(g)$$

so an automorphic representation is simply a grossencharacter of  $F$ , i.e. a character  $\psi : F^\times \backslash \mathbb{A}_F^\times \rightarrow S^1$ . Thus the theory we're looking for is, in the case of  $GL_1$ , simply Hecke's theory of  $L$ -functions associated to grossencharacters. We review this now.

Let  $\psi : \mathbb{A}_F^\times \rightarrow S^1$  (trivial on  $F^\times$ ) be a grossencharacter of  $F$ . We can decompose it

$$\psi = \prod_v \psi_v$$

as a product of characters  $\psi_v$  on  $F_v^\times$ , with  $\psi_v$  unramified for almost all  $v$ .

Let  $S$  be the set of ramified places. For every  $v \notin S$ , define

$$\chi(v) = \psi_v(\pi_v)$$

where  $\pi_v \in F_v$  is a uniformizer (note that the choice of uniformizer does not matter). We can extend  $\chi$  by multiplicativity to the set of ideals of  $F$  prime to  $S$ .

The  $L$ -series associated to  $\psi$ , or to  $\chi$ , is

$$L(s, \chi) = \sum_{\mathfrak{s} \mid \mathfrak{a}} \frac{\chi(\mathfrak{a})}{N(\mathfrak{a})^s} = \prod_{v \notin S} \left(1 - \frac{\chi(v)}{N(v)^s}\right)^{-1}.$$

**Theorem** (Hecke).  $L(s, \chi)$  has nice  $L$ -function-y properties. That is:

1. it converges for  $\Re(s) > 1$  (easy);
2. it has a meromorphic continuation to the whole plane, with a simple pole at  $s = 1$  if  $\chi$  is trivial and no poles otherwise;
3. there is a constant  $A$ , a constant  $W(\chi)$  of modulus 1, and a gamma factor  $\Gamma(s, \chi)$  such that  $R(s, \chi) = s(s-1)A^s \Gamma(s, \chi) L(s, \chi)$  is entire and satisfies the functional equation

$$R(1-s, \chi^{-1}) = W(\chi) R(s, \chi).$$

Now Tate's thesis. Let  $S(\mathbb{A}_F)$  be the space of Schwartz-Bruhat functions on  $\mathbb{A}_F$ , that is, functions of the form  $f = \prod_v f_v$  where

- $f_v$  is a Schwartz function (in the usual sense of rapidly decreasing) on  $\mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$  if  $v$  is real or complex,
- $f_v$  is locally constant and compactly supported on  $F_v$  if  $v$  is finite, and
- $f_v = \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{O}_v}$  for almost all  $v$ .

For a non-trivial character  $\tau$  of  $\mathbb{A}_F$ , define a Fourier transform on  $S(\mathbb{A}_F)$  by

$$\hat{f}(\alpha) = \int_{\mathbb{A}_F} f(\beta) \tau(\alpha\beta) d\beta.$$

For  $\psi$  a grossencharacter of  $F$ , define a global  $\zeta$ -function by

$$\zeta(f, \psi, s) = \int_{\mathrm{GL}_1 \mathbb{A}_F} f(\alpha) \psi(\alpha) |\alpha|^s d^\times \alpha$$

where  $|\alpha| = \prod_v |\alpha_v|_v$  and  $d^\times \alpha = \prod_v d^\times \alpha_v$ .

Since everything involved is a product of local factors, we can decompose our global  $\zeta$ -function

$$\zeta(f, \psi, s) = \prod_v \zeta(f_v, \psi_v, s)$$

into local  $\zeta$ -functions

$$\zeta(f_v, \psi_v, s) = \int_{\mathrm{GL}_1 F_v} f_v(\alpha_v) \psi_v(\alpha_v) |\alpha_v|_v^s d^\times \alpha_v$$

(as long everything converges, e.g. for  $\Re(s) > 1$ ).

**Theorem (Tate).**  $\zeta(f, \psi, s)$  has a meromorphic continuation to the whole complex plane, with simple poles at  $s = 0$  and  $s = 1$  if  $\psi$  is trivial and entire if not; furthermore it satisfies the functional equation

$$\zeta(f, \psi, s) = \zeta(\hat{f}, \psi^{-1}, 1-s).$$

This is proven almost entirely using harmonic analysis (i.e. Fourier analysis) on  $M(1, F)$  and  $\mathrm{GL}_1$ .

The theorem of Tate implies the theorem of Hecke via the relation

$$\prod_{v \notin S_\psi} \zeta(f_v, \psi_v, s) = L(s, \chi) \prod_{v \notin S_\psi} \chi(\partial_v)^{-1} N(\partial_v)^{s-1/2}$$

for the correct  $f_v$ , where  $\partial_v$  is the different of  $F_v$ , and as above  $S_\psi$  is the set of primes at which  $\psi$  ramifies and  $\chi$  is the Hecke character associated to  $\psi$ . That is, this relation can be used to prove the analytic continuation and functional equation of  $L(s, \chi)$  from the corresponding properties of  $\zeta(f_v, \psi_v, s)$ .

## 2 GL<sub>2</sub> by Jacquet and Langlands

Just as for GL<sub>1</sub>, we now want to associate  $L$ -functions to automorphic forms for GL<sub>2</sub>. We already know how to do this for classical holomorphic cusp forms: if  $f \in S_k(N, \psi)$  has Fourier expansion  $f = \sum a_n q^n$  at infinity, then we can define an  $L$ -function by

$$L(f, s) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^s} \Gamma(s) \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{a_n}{n^s} = \int_0^\infty f(iy) y^{s-1} dy,$$

that is, by taking the Mellin transform of  $f$  along the vertical half-line  $\{iy : y > 0\}$ . To see how to define our  $L$ -functions more generally we rewrite this in the adelic setting.

Let

$$\phi_f(g) = f(g_\infty(i)) j(g_\infty, i)^{-k} \psi(k_0), \quad g = \gamma g_\infty k_0 \in \text{GL}_2 \mathbb{A} = \text{G}_\mathbb{Q} \text{G}_\infty^+ K_0$$

be the adelic automorphic form associated to  $f$ . For simplicity suppose  $N = 1$  and  $\psi$  is trivial. Then  $\phi_f(g)$  is right  $K_0$ -invariant, and (as always) left GL<sub>2</sub>  $\mathbb{Q}$ -invariant. From the definition of  $\phi_f$  we see, for real  $y > 0$ ,

$$\phi_f \left( \begin{pmatrix} y & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) = f(iy).$$

Thus

$$L(f, s) = \int_{\mathbb{Q}^\times \backslash \mathbb{A}^\times} \phi_f \left( \begin{pmatrix} y & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) |y|^s d^\times y.$$

Now we get Fourier analysis involved. Recall that the characters of  $\mathbb{Q}^\times \backslash \mathbb{A}^\times$  are given by  $\tau(\lambda x)$  for various  $\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}^\times$ , where

$$\tau(x) = \prod_{p \leq \infty} \tau_p(x)$$

and  $\tau_\infty(x) = e^{2\pi i x}$  and  $\tau_p(x) = 1$  if and only if  $x_p \in \mathcal{O}_p$ . Thus  $\phi_f \left( \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} g \right)$  has a Fourier expansion as a function of  $x$ ,

$$\phi_f \left( \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} g \right) = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}} \phi_{f, \lambda}(g) \tau(\lambda x),$$

where

$$\phi_{f, \lambda}(g) = \int_{\mathbb{Q}^\times \backslash \mathbb{A}^\times} \phi_f \left( \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} g \right) \overline{\tau(\lambda x)} dx$$

is the  $\lambda$ -th Fourier coefficient of  $\phi_f \left( \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} g \right)$  (depending on  $g$ ).

**Lemma.** Suppose  $f \in S_k(\Gamma(1))$  has Fourier expansion  $f = \sum a_n e^{2\pi i n z}$ , and  $\phi_f$  is the associated adelic automorphic form. Then for real  $y > 0$ ,

$$\phi_{f, \lambda} \left( \begin{pmatrix} y & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) = \begin{cases} a_n e^{-2\pi n y} & \text{if } \lambda = n \in \mathbb{Z} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Letting  $x = 0$ , so that  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \text{id}$  and  $\tau(\lambda x) = 1$ , and defining

$$W_{\phi_f}(g) = \int_{\mathbb{Q} \backslash \mathbb{A}} \phi \left( \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} g \right) \overline{\tau(x)} dx$$

to be the 1st Fourier coefficient of  $\phi_f$ , we find

$$\phi_f\left(\begin{pmatrix} y & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right) = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}^\times} \phi_{f,\lambda}\left(\begin{pmatrix} y & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right) = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}^\times} W_{\phi_f}\left(\begin{pmatrix} \lambda y & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right).$$

Now our  $L$ -function becomes

$$L(f, s) = \int_{\mathbb{Q}^\times \backslash \mathbb{A}^\times} \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}^\times} W_{\phi_f}\left(\begin{pmatrix} \lambda y & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right) |y|^s d^*y = \int_{\mathbb{A}^\times} W_{\phi_f}\left(\begin{pmatrix} y & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right) |y|^s d^*y.$$

In words,  $L(f, s)$  is the adelic Mellin transform along  $\begin{pmatrix} y & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  of the 1st Fourier coefficient of  $\phi_f$ . This is supposed to suggest that in general, the  $L$ -function associated to an automorphic representation  $\pi$  should be the Mellin transform of the first Fourier coefficient of some distinguished function in the space of  $\pi$ .

### 3 Whittaker Models and $L$ -functions

In order to find what such a function should be, let's list some properties of the one  $W_{\phi_f}$  in the case of a classical cusp form  $f$ . The right-translates (or more precisely we may want right convolutions) of  $W_{\phi_f}(g)$  generate a space  $W(\pi_f)$  of functions  $W$  on  $\mathrm{GL}_2 \mathbb{A}$  satisfying:

- $W\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} g\right) = \tau(x)W(g)$  for  $x \in \mathbb{A}$ ;
- $W\left(\begin{pmatrix} z & 0 \\ 0 & z \end{pmatrix} g\right) = \psi(z)W(g)$  for  $z \in \mathbb{A}^\times$ ;
- $W$  is right  $K$ -finite,  $C^\infty$  as a function on  $G_\infty$ , and rapidly decreasing;
- the representation of  $\mathcal{H}(\mathrm{GL}_2 \mathbb{A})$  on  $W(\pi_f)$  given by right convolution is equivalent to  $\pi_f$ .

The (equivalent) representation  $W(\pi_f)$  is called the *Whittaker model* of  $\pi_f$ , and the space  $W(\pi_f)$  the *Whittaker space*.

Now to produce an  $L$ -function we can produce a distinguished function in  $W(\pi_f)$  and then Mellin transform it. In fact we will produce a distinguished function locally, on the Whittaker models of local factors of our automorphic representation, and then glue them together to get a distinguished function in the global Whittaker model. Note that the Whittaker model lends itself to this task, because it is composed of functions on  $\mathrm{GL}_2 \mathbb{A}$ , rather than functions on  $\mathrm{GL}_2 \mathbb{Q} \backslash \mathrm{GL}_2 \mathbb{A}$ , so the local factors fit together nicely.

We now discuss Whittaker models more generally.

**Theorem.** *Let  $v$  be any finite place of  $F$ , and  $\pi_v$  an irreducible admissible (infinite-dimensional) representation of  $\mathrm{GL}_2 F_v$ . Then in the space of locally constant functions  $W$  on  $\mathrm{GL}_2 F_v$  satisfying*

$$W\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} g\right) = \tau(x)W(g) \quad x \in F_v, g \in \mathrm{GL}_2 F_v$$

*there is a unique subspace  $W(\pi_v)$  stable under the right action of  $\mathrm{GL}_2 F_v$  and equivalent as a  $\mathrm{GL}_2 F_v$ -module to  $\pi_v$ .*

If  $v$  is archimedean, there is a unique subspace of the space of  $C^\infty$  functions  $W$  satisfying the above condition and also

$$W\left(\begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right) = O(|t|^N) \text{ as } |t| \rightarrow \infty$$

equivalent to  $\pi_v$  as an  $\mathcal{H}(\mathrm{GL}_2 F_v)$ -module.

As before  $W(\pi_v)$  is called the *Whittaker space* of  $\pi_v$ , and the representation on  $W(\pi_v)$  is called the *Whittaker model*.

Global Whittaker models are analogous. Local uniqueness implies global uniqueness, and this implies multiplicity one for automorphic representations. Indeed, the association  $\phi \mapsto W_\phi$  produces a Whittaker model from an automorphic representation, and the uniqueness of the Whittaker model implies uniqueness of the automorphic representation.

To every function in the local Whittaker model we associate a  $\zeta$ -function, a special one of which will be our local  $L$ -function. Let  $\pi_v$  be an irreducible admissible representation of  $\mathrm{GL}_2 F_v$ ,  $\chi$  a unitary character of  $F_v^\times$ ,  $g \in \mathrm{GL}_2 f_v$ ,  $W \in W(\pi_v)$ . Then define a local  $\zeta$ -function for all of this data by

$$\zeta(g, \chi, W, s) = \int_{F_v^\times} W\left(\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right) \chi(a) |a|^{s-1/2} d^\times a.$$

**Theorem 1.** • The integral defining  $\zeta(g, \chi, W, s)$  converges in some right half-plane.

- There is a  $W^0 \in W(\pi_v)$  such that  $L(\chi \otimes \pi_v, s) = \zeta(1, \chi, W^0, s)$  is an Euler factor making  $\frac{\zeta(g, \chi, W, s)}{L(\chi \otimes \pi_v, s)}$  entire for every  $g, \chi, W$ .
- $\zeta(g, \chi, W, s)$  has an analytic continuation to the whole plane satisfying the functional equation

$$\frac{\zeta(g, \chi, W, s)}{L(\chi \otimes \pi_v, s)} \varepsilon(\pi_v, \chi, s) = \frac{\zeta(wg, \chi^{-1} \psi_v^{-1}, W, 1-s)}{L(\chi^{-1} \psi_v^{-1} \otimes \pi_v, 1-s)}$$

for some function  $\varepsilon(\pi_v, \chi, s)$  independent of  $g, W$ , where  $w = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $\psi_v$  is the central character of  $\pi_v$ .

For a finite place  $v$ , ‘‘Euler factor’’ means  $\frac{1}{P(q^s)}$  where  $P$  is a polynomial with constant term 1 and  $q = |\omega_v|$ ; for an infinite place, it means some kind of  $\Gamma$ -factor. The  $\varepsilon$  factor is also not too bad, just an exponential type thing.

The  $L$ -function associated to an automorphic representation  $\pi$  will be the product of the local  $L$ -factors associated to its local factors  $\pi_v$ ; it will also be the Mellin transform of the distinguished function given by the product of the local parts  $W^0$ .